Countries with long average working hours are economically more successful than those countries which do not work long hours.

## To what extent do you agree or disagree?

In some developed countries working long shifts is regarded to be entirely conventional. In fact, there is an opinion that working long hours is the major key why they are more prosperous than other countries with briefer working hours. However, I partially agree with this.

Some countries believe that having a developed <u>economic</u> is directly associated with long working hours. working for approximately <u>8</u> hours a day, for instance, can lead to a wealthy economy, since a lot of efforts and energy <u>is</u> needed for a country to be economically powerful and unrivaled in the world. Moreover, they also <u>claimedclaim</u>, working for long hours <u>increase</u> the productivity of their countries and this can allow them to boost their economy. take China as an example for being the <u>second greatest</u> economy in the world, one of the main reasons is because of long hours working. Moreover, Chinese workers are constantly working. Although, <u>this-it</u> is argued that <u>another</u> factors for this universal success are cheap labors and also low-cost goods and services.

Conversely, other countries believe that lengthy working hours <u>does</u> not always guarantee economic booming and also productivity. They <u>believed</u> When <u>workers and staff</u> are obliged to work a lot, they might lose energy, so they cannot <u>do</u> their responsibilities as well as they can. They have less sufficient time for themselves during a week and therefore, this sedentary sort of life can lead to less satisfaction among its residents. The <u>statics</u> have shown us (revealed) that, staffers have been <u>perturbation-perturbed</u> and stress<u>ed</u> because of long hours working which <u>by the by</u> has significant impact on their quality of life. Moreover, there are some countries with normal average working hours that have high Gross Domestic Production (GDP).

In a nutshell, I strongly <u>believed</u> that there are some vital factors such as quality of working which can cause the growth of the economy.